

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,859	03/29/2004	John Dykstra	4004	2858
31424 75	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
BABCOCK IP LLC			VU, HIEN D	
24154 LAKESI LAKE ZURICI			VU, HIEN D	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2833	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/708,859	DYKSTRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hien D. Vu	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ie correspondence address	\$			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed I days will be considered timely. I days mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description on the mailing date of this commun I description of the mailing date of this commun I description of the mailing date of this commun I description of the mailing date of the mailing	ilcation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
•	action is non-final.					
<u>'</u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		_			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second	cation No eived in this National Stag	je			
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	* -				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Inform	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152))			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/708,859

Art Unit: 2833

4

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species 1, figs. 1-4; species 2, figs. 5-18 and species 3, figs. 19-26.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/708,859

Art Unit: 2833

The contract of

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Andrew Babcock on 11/08/04 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being

made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hien D. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-2016.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

HV

11/8/04

HIENVU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3